

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/089,900 | 08/29/2002 | Bruce Kaiser | 40012.067 | 6846 | |
| 27966 | 7590 10/02/2003 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| KENNETH E. HORTON | | | BARBER, THERESE | | |
| KIRTON & MCCONKLE 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| SUITE 1800 | | | 2882 | | |
| SALTLAKE CITY, UT 84111 | | | DATE MAILED: 10/02/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Oor | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | 10/089,900 | KAISER ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| , | Therese Barber | 2882 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONI | mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 | <u>August 2002</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th | nis action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow- closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-46</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-46</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 August 2002</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in re | • • | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | kammer. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | -) (1) (6) | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a | a)-(d) or (t). | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti | ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(| e) (to a provisional application). | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/089,900

Art Unit: 2882

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings filed on 02July 2002 are acceptable subject to correction of the informalities indicated on the attached "Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review," PTO-948. In order to avoid abandonment of this application, correction is required in reply to the Office action. The correction will not be held in abeyance.

Double Patenting

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 3. Claims 21, 31, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1, 5, and 9 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,501,852 B1. This is a double patenting rejection.
- 4. Regarding claim 21 of this patent application and claim 1 of USPN 6,501,852, the claims are identical.

Regarding claim 31 of this patent application and claim 5 of USPN 6,501,852, the claims are identical.

Application/Control Number: 10/089,900

Art Unit: 2882

Regarding claim 45, line 3, of this patent application and claim 9, line 3, of USPN 6,501,852, the only difference in the wording between these claims is the limitation of "impinging the taggant with an energy beam" in this patent application has replaced the limitation of "impinging the taggant with an x-ray" in the U.S. patent. It is inherent that the impingement of an energy beam is necessary in order to produce an x-ray that can penetrate the coating of the taggant. Thus, this claim is held to be identical.

5. Claims 22-30, 32-44, and 46 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 2, 6, 10,13, 14, 17, 18, 25, 26, 29, 30, 33, 34, 37, and 38 of U.S. Patent No. 6,501,852. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the article in USPN 6,501,852 is a document (a paper product) and the article in this patent application is a packaging material (a solid product). It would have been one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made the taggant is applied to any suitable target material(s) as long as the taggant can adhere to the target material(s) during x-ray fluorescence analysis.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Therese Barber whose telephone number is (703) 306-0205. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m..

Application/Control Number: 10/089,900

Art Unit: 2882

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Glick can be reached on (703) 308-4858. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

tb September 2003

DAVID V. BRUCE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Dansbrun